G.R.S. III-2]

Previous references. 1924 (Courts) cts **File No.** 24-24.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Later references.

Preserve

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for September 1924

SUBJECT

Corrigendum to rule of practice No. 114 (Civil Side) re the report of availment of Casual leave by Judicial Officers.

To

The Registrar High Court } No. P 1011-12
Comptroller } dt 25.8.24

Sanctions the Corrigendum to the Rule pointed out in the above.

D. Venkata Krishnaya
17/9/24.

G. R. S. III—1] 1924-5 160/P

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(CS)

NOTES.

File No. 24-24

FILE HEADING.

Corrigendum to Civil Practice no 114 (Civil side) re the report of availment of casual leave by judicial officers.

From

Registrar, Chief Court

C No. 61 Dated 10.7.24 Received 12.7.24

Diary No. 169	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for sanction a corrigendum to Civil Rul. & Practice no 114 re the report & availment of Casual leave by judicial officers and states that the proposed amendment does away with much correspondence between the Judges and the Chief Court.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted with a draft for orders

620/1877 14/7/24 Land R. Secy

Draft Corrigendum to the Rules & Practice may be appd as it reduces much correspondence.

1st Member 24/7

Secy 17.7

Notes—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2310—GPE—10,000—31-5-24

General and Revenue Secretariat	File No. 24 of 1924-5
Serial No. 2	To the Registr. Chief Court " Comptroller No P. 1011—12 dy 25.8.24. Sanctions the corrigendum to rule of practice no. 114 (Civil side) re the report of availment of casual leave by judicial officers.

B

5/9

NOTE—Cotton sheets.

5

160
P 3

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,
Bangalore 10th July 1924.

R.-O. C. Dis. No. 61/24-25.

CS 24-26-1

02638



From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to para 2 of Rule of Practice No.114 (Civil side) dated the 27th November 1903 under which District Judges are required to make a report to the Chief Court, for being intimated to the Comptroller, the casual leave availed of by themselves, Subordinate Judges and Munsiffs in their Division.

2. I am to observe that since casual leave is not treated as absence from duty (Vide Art.147, Mysore Service Regulations), the intimation of the availment of such leave is not now made to the Comptroller who does not keep any account of the same. The necessity, therefore, of the District Judges making a report to the Chief Court of the casual leave availed of by the Subordinate Judges and Munsiffs in their Division no longer exists, although a report of the leave availed of by the District Judges themselves would still be necessary as the Chief Court is their immediate official superior.

(4)

3. It seems sufficient if the District Judges, who have the power to grant casual leave to the subordinate Judicial Officers in their Division, satisfy themselves as to the necessity for the casual leave, and that the maximum period to which an officer is entitled in a calendar year is not exceeded, for which purpose subordinate Judicial Officers will be asked to make a report to the District Judge concerned.

4. The change now proposed in the Rule of Practice will result in the reduction of a considerable amount of correspondence both to the District Judges and the Chief Court; and the Chief Court considers that a certain amount of decentralization in this matter is necessary. I am therefore to request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the accompanying Corrigendum to the said Rule of Practice.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar. 10/7/24.

TMN/2

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 25/8/24

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette.

English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section A

File No. 24-24

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Letter no C 61/24-5 dt 10.7.24 from the Regr Chief Court, stating that as casual leave is not treated as absence from duty, ~~in~~ intimation of availment such leave is not now made to the Comptroller and that therefore the necessity for making a report to the Chief Court by the District Judges of the casual leave availed of by the Subordinate Judges and Munsiffs in their Divisions no longer exists although a report is necessary as regards the casual leave availed of by the Dt. Judges to the Chief Court and requesting ~~to the issue of~~ sanction to the ~~Standing~~ Order Thereon No. amendment to Civil Rule of Practice no 111, dt 27-11-1903, making the necessary changes provided act

(Here enter the prescribed order)

No 1011-13

Sanctioned

25/8/24

10/7 Rdy

To

The Regr Chief Court under

a Comptroller

Page 145 of the Civil Digest Para (9) Leave (Casual)

Sub-Para (1) XX XX XX

Sub-Para (2) The District Judges should make a report to the Chief Court of the leave availed of by themselves and Subordinate Judges and Munsiffs in their Division, in order that the same may be noted and intimated to the Comptroller. (R.P.114 dated the 27th November 1903)